

INSTITUTION	NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS				
SCHOOL	SCHOOL OF SCIENCE				
DEPARTMENT	INFORMATICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS				
COURSE LEVEL	GRADUATE				
COURSE TITLE	The basics of linguistic analysis				
COURSE CODE	C14	Semester	1	ECTS	6
TEACHING HOURS per week	THEORY	3	SEMINAR.	1	LABORATORY 1
URL	https://eclass.uoa.gr/courses/DI520/				

COURSE CONTENT
The following topics are discussed: The basics of morphology. Part of Speech annotation with the Universal Dependencies (UD) tags. Basic linguistic mechanisms: agreement, long distance dependences (control, binding, anaphora), diathesis alternations, multiword expressions (MWEs). Analysis of these phenomena with constraint-based formalisms and development of toy unification-based phrase structure grammars. Syntactic annotation with UD tags (UDs do not use constraints). Semi-automatic discovery of MWEs in corpora. An introductory presentation of formal semantics (first order predicate calculus, lexical semantics).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES
Teaching Goals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To explain a set of formal systems used in Computational Linguistics for the representation of morphological and syntactic phenomena (constrained-based formalisms and Universal Dependences) To develop computational grammars and explain the effects of ambiguity <p>Upon successful completion of the course the student will be able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify, analyse and represent basic morphological and syntactic phenomena Choose among different representations of linguistic phenomena Develop phrase structure grammars Use the UD framework to annotate Greek (or other) corpora

TEACHING AND LEARNING METHODS - ASSESSMENT	
TEACHING METHOD	In Class (Face to Face)
USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES	Learning process supported by the e-class platform (specify which specific tools you use, eg Hardware delivery, Discussions, Announcements, Task assignments, Student groups) Email communication Live transmission of lectures

	Utilization of Specialised Software: LFG-Parser http://ioperm.org/lfg-parser.html , MWEToolkit http://mwetoolkit.sourceforge.net/PHITE.php																		
<p>TEACHING ORGANIZATION Describe in detail the way and methods of teaching: Enhanced Lectures, Online Lectures, Seminars, Tutorial, Laboratory, Laboratory Exercise, Study & analysis of literature, Practice (Positioning), Interactive teaching, Developing a project, Individual / group work Telework (reference to tools) etc.</p> <p>Details of the student's study hours for each learning activity and hours of non-guided study are shown to ensure that the total workload at the semester corresponds to the ECTS</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="756 310 1365 688"> <thead> <tr> <th>Activity</th> <th>Student Workload (hours)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Lectures</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tutorial</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Laboratory</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Teamwork in a case study</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small individual exercises</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Study</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>.....</td> <td>...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Course (25 hours of workload per unit of credit)</td> <td>150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activity	Student Workload (hours)	Lectures	39	Tutorial	13	Laboratory	13	Teamwork in a case study	30	Small individual exercises	10	Independent Study	45	Total Course (25 hours of workload per unit of credit)	150
Activity	Student Workload (hours)																		
Lectures	39																		
Tutorial	13																		
Laboratory	13																		
Teamwork in a case study	30																		
Small individual exercises	10																		
Independent Study	45																		
.....	...																		
Total Course (25 hours of workload per unit of credit)	150																		
<p>ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS Description of the assessment process</p> <p>Assessment Methods, Formative or Concluding, Multiple Choice Test, Quick Response Questions, Test Development Questions, Problem Solving, Written Work, Report / Report, Oral Examination, Public Presentation, Laboratory Work, Other / Other</p> <p>Fully defined evaluation criteria are mentioned and if and where they are accessible to students.</p>	<p>Describe explicitly methods, evaluation tools and provided feedback. The table below is supplemented accordingly.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="756 877 1365 1073"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment methods</th> <th>Number</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Written examination</td> <td>1</td> <td>50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Progress</td> <td>2</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Exercises</td> <td>3</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Laboratory</td> <td>5</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final work</td> <td>1</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Assessment methods	Number	Percentage	Written examination	1	50%	Progress	2	20%	Exercises	3	10%	Laboratory	5	10%	Final work	1	10%
Assessment methods	Number	Percentage																	
Written examination	1	50%																	
Progress	2	20%																	
Exercises	3	10%																	
Laboratory	5	10%																	
Final work	1	10%																	

<p>LITERATURE AND STUDY MATERIALS / READING LIST</p>
<p>BIBLIOGRAPHY (IN ENGLISH)</p> <p>Andrews, Avery D. 2007. The major functions of the noun phrase. In Timothy Shopen (Ed.), <i>Language Typology and Syntactic Description</i> (pp. 132-223). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511619427.003</p> <p>Asudeh, Ash & Toivonen, Ida. 2009. Lexical-Functional Grammar. In Bernd Heine and Heiko Narrog, (Ed.) <i>The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Analysis</i>. Oxford: Oxford University Press. http://users.ox.ac.uk/~cpg10036/pdf/asudeh-toivonen09-lfg-ohla.pdf</p> <p>Bender, Emily M. 2013. <i>Linguistic Fundamentals for Natural Language Processing: 100 Essentials from Morphology and Syntax</i>. Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies #20. Morgan & Claypool Publishers. http://libgen.rs/search.php?req=Linguistic%20fundamentals%20for%20&lg_topic=libgen&open=0&view=simple&es=25&phrase=1&column=title&fbclid=IwAR3ADhTlzy_cLeMn-HxCeX6FQf3jhhssbWY-PJlHpdarRlBp3LFE-7sBg4g</p> <p>Jurafsky, Dan and James H. Martin. 2020. <i>Speech and Language Processing</i> https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/</p> <p>Levin, Beth. 1993. <i>English Verb Class and Alternations: A Preliminary Investigation</i>. Chicago. University of Chicago Press.</p>

Osborne, Timothy and Kim Gerdes. 2019. The status of function words in dependency grammar: A critique of Universal Dependencies (UD). *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics* 4(1): 17. 1–28, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/gjgl.537>

Przepiorkowski, Adam and Agnieszka Patejuk. 2018. Arguments and Adjuncts in Universal Dependencies. *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, pp. 3837–3852, <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C18-1324>

WEB RESOURCES

ILSP NLP Web Services <http://nlp.ilsp.gr/soaplab2-axis/>

Online glossary of linguistics terminology: Greek-English & English-Greek
<http://users.uoi.gr/gjxydo/lexicon/glossary.html>

The Parole Tagset with examples http://nlp.ilsp.gr/nlp/tagset_examples/tagset_en/index.html

Universal Dependencies <https://universaldependencies.org/u/overview/tokenization.html>