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Abstract. Naming services provide the foundations of developing diverse and important applications. Currently, these are operated by centralized authorities, which have to be trusted for their correct operation. Unfortunately, centralization incurs several downsides as illustrated by numerous security incidents where such authorities have been compromised. Decentralization has been proposed as an alternative to deal with these issues. Nevertheless, decentralization raises other issues, such as free-riding and Sybil attacks.

In this thesis, we leverage smart contract platforms and propose the design of a decentralized naming service. We are the first to fully formalize the naming service design problem in the Universal Composability framework and formally prove the security of our construction. The main barrier in realizing a smart contract-based naming service is the size of the contract’s state, which should be minimized. We resolve this issue by defining and using in our naming service a public-state cryptographic accumulator with constant size. We propose and implement a second construction, which preserves the security properties of the first and is the only version with constant-sized state that can be deployed on Ethereum’s live chain. We compare these constructions with the simple approach of prior works, where all identity records are stored on the contract’s state. We address several shortcomings of Ethereum and its cost model by introducing an alternative paradigm for developing smart contract-based applications. Our approach is relevant for a wide range of applications, e.g., any key-value store. We address Ethereum’s monotonically increasing state by introducing recurring fees that are adjustable by miners. We propose a scheme where the cost of storage-related operations reflects the effort that miners have to expend to execute them. We show that under such a pricing scheme that encourages economy, the constructions presented in this work reduce incurred transaction fees by up to an order of magnitude.
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1 Introduction

Contrary to its original, clean design principles, the Internet today is not completely decentralized. The Internet’s naming services, such as Domain Name Systems (DNSs) and Public Key Infrastructures (PKIs), provide the most critical building blocks that allow and secure, respectively, digital communications. These services handle mappings between entities names and values (e.g., an IP address for DNSs, or a public key for PKIs). Unfortunately, these critical services are under the control of centralized, remote entities that must be trusted for their correct operation. This is problematic as it is proven by multiple security incidents in e.g. centralized public key infrastructures, where certification authorities have been compromised. (e.g., [64, 72, 83])

The advent of Bitcoin revolutionized the world of digital payments since it was the first system that allowed entities that do not trust each other to transact securely, without relying on trusted, third parties. The operation of Bitcoin is based on a distributed peer-to-peer network that maintains a highly replicated, verifiable, append-only transaction log, which is commonly referred to as a blockchain. This technology is very promising as it allows the development of systems that are completely decentralized. As a result of this potential, there have been calls from the community to re-decentralize the Internet using blockchain technology to build its critical naming services, thereby eliminating the Internet’s reliance on centralized entities.

Namecoin ([25]) and Emercoin ([8]) are notable examples of blockchain-based naming services. These systems employ the blockchain to store, query and verify the validity of records pertaining to identities. However, this approach is inefficient for the following reasons. First, it forces client to download and maintain a complete copy of the blockchain to enable them to validate identity records. Second, the computational and storage complexities increase linearly to the number of registered records. Third, the system’s usability is severely limited as important devices are excluded due to their limited storage (e.g., smartphones). Finally, it increases the amount of information that network nodes (miners) need to retain, which may prevent new nodes from synchronizing and contributing to the network’s security.

In this thesis, we present the design, implementation and evaluation of the first provably secure, fully distributed naming service. The building blocks of our service are smart contracts and cryptographic accumulators. We present two constructions of our service that store constant-sized state on the smart contract, regardless of the number of registered identities. In this way, we fully resolve the aforementioned issues associated with on-blockchain storage. Storage of identity records is offloaded to an external, potentially unreliable, distributed storage network which, among others, allows for more efficient access to identity records.
2 Background

In this chapter, we provide background knowledge that is required to understand the remaining chapters of this thesis. In particular, we present basic concepts about public key cryptosystems, digital certificates and public key infrastructures. Next, we describe the operation of blockchain systems, which form the building block on which the Ethereum smart contract platform was built, on top of which we implemented all the constructions presented in this thesis. Finally, we present an introduction to cryptographic accumulators and their properties.

3 Related Work

In this chapter, we present the results of up to date bibliography on naming services, as well as, public key infrastructures. Based on the fact that several previous approaches use the same underlying primitive for the development of such services, we categorize them based on it. In summary, we review previous works that are based on: 1) replicated state machines, 2) various types of overlay networks, and 3) blockchains. Our bibliographic research allows us to illustrate the weaknesses and failures of each category, as well as, each system individually. We note that no previous approach addresses, overall, issues such as time-stamping, arbitrary failures, free-riding, Sybil attacks, formally proven secure constructions and others.

4 Naming Service: Building Blocks and Definition

In this chapter, we begin by introducing basic notation that is relevant to this thesis. We also formally present definitions of standard cryptographic hardness problems, such as the strong RSA and collision-resistant cryptographic hash functions. Next, we present the first official definition of a public-state, additive, universal cryptographic accumulator, which is the building block of our naming service. Finally, we present the formal definition of our naming service, in the Universal Composability framework, which we model as an ideal functionality. We also present the formal definition of two additional ideal functionalities that model the (unreliable) storage network and the smart contract, respectively. Finally, we provide a general description of how clients interact with all of the above functionalities in our protocols, which we present in the following chapters.

5 RSA-based PKI Construction

In this chapter, we present the first construction of our naming service. We begin by presenting a construction of a public-state, additive, universal cryptographic accumulator whose security is based on the strong RSA assumption in the Random Oracle model. The input domain of this accumulator is limited to prime numbers. However, our naming service requires the accumulation of arbitrary
strings. We solve this problem by presenting a polynomial complexity function that associates arbitrary strings to prime numbers. This function is a deterministic version of that of Gennaro et al. [69]. We prove the collision-resistance of this function and that on input an arbitrary string, this function will output a prime number, except with negligible probability. Next, we present the construction of a public key infrastructure that uses the aforementioned building blocks to implement the operations of our naming service. In particular, we present the smart contract’s code, as well as, our construction’s protocol. Finally, we define the security theorem of this construction, whose proof is provided in the appendix of the thesis.

6 Hash tree-based PKI Construction

In this chapter, we present the second construction of our naming service. We begin by presenting the universal cryptographic accumulator of Camacho et al. [54], which is based on hash trees. This accumulator is strong, i.e., it offers more properties than the one we defined in the previous chapter. However, in this accumulator, the structures used to prove whether an element is accumulated or not have are logarithmic, unlike the previous accumulator where they are of constant size. Next, we present the construction of a public key infrastructure that uses the aforementioned accumulator to implement the operations of our naming service. Similar to the previous chapter, we present the smart contract’s code, the protocol of our construction, and its security theorem.

7 Evaluation

In this chapter, we present experiments that measure the cost of running on Ethereum the two constructions of our naming service, as well as, their building blocks. We intersperse our results with recommendations for modifications and improvements to Ethereum that, we believe, are vital if Ethereum (or any smart contract platform) is to reach its maximum potential of supporting arbitrary, large-scale, distributed applications.

Our first set of experiments demonstrates the extra cost of implementing a digital signature scheme on a smart contract, compared to the implementation based on precompiled contracts provided by the Ethereum platform. We calculate an average overhead cost of two orders of magnitude.

We then present the evaluation of our two PKI constructions. We present the configuration of each construction (e.g., values for the security parameters) and the cost of each operation of the smart contract. For each construction, we discuss extensively the semantics of the results and illustrate its deployment scale. In summary, for the first construction that is based on the strong RSA assumption, our results show that it cannot be deployed on Ethereum’s live chain, due to the high cost of the process that maps arbitrary strings to prime numbers. However, the second construction, which is based on hash trees, can be deploy on Ethereum’s live chain for medium-sized PKIs. We complement the results of each
construction with a discussion where we propose future optimizations. Finally, we implement and evaluate a PKI where all the records are stored on the smart contract’s state, which is the approach followed by all previous blockchain-based naming services. Our results show that, at present, this approach is the most cost-effective. However, the impact of this approach on the future and longevity of the platform is severe. This is a hot topic of discussion in the Ethereum community, which has been looking for ways to address it for years. However, our own constructions are perfectly aligned with the future and longevity of Ethereum, as well as, any other smart contract platform, as they incur constant storage overhead, irrespective of the number of registered identities.

8 An Alternative Paradigm for Developing Applications and Pricing Storage on Smart Contract Platforms

In this chapter, drawing on the research and results of the previous chapter, we present our approach to tackle all issues arising from the practice of using blockchains or smart contracts as a means of directly storing, validating and retrieving data. We introduce an alternative methodology for developing applications on these platforms where we employ the state of smart contracts only to validate application data. Data storage and retrieval are offloaded to an external, possibly unreliable, storage network. Our methodology is generic and can be applied to any key-value store. To support the effectiveness and adaptability of our approach, we present the design and implementation of an ERC20 token standard adaptation. We evaluate our construction and compare it with the standard implementation.

We address the problem of Ethereum’s monotonically increasing state by presenting an adaptive model for pricing storage operations. Specifically, we introduce recurring storage fees commensurate with the amount of storage consumed by each smart contract, pricing storage operations based on the complexity of their execution, and freeing up storage space occupied by contracts that are no longer used. Under this cost model, we re-evaluate both the construction of our ERC20 token construction and our hash tree-based PKI construction. Our results show that both constructions provide cost-effective improvements of up to one order of magnitude.

9 Conclusions and Future Work

In this thesis, we presented the design and implementations of a provably secure naming service based on smart contracts. Our service provides a combination of properties that no previous approach has been able to offer. However, interesting technical issues remain to be explored. Indicatively, we mention the following:

– Design, implement, and evaluate of a distributed storage network that is scalable and resistant to Sybil attacks.
Reduce or eliminate the computational burden that storage network nodes may impose on clients.

Apply and evaluate verifiable computation techniques in regards to the procedure that maps arbitrary strings to prime numbers.

Extend the security model of the accumulator of Camacho et al. [54] to reduce the cost of the operations of the hash tree-based construction.

References

5. Eip 103: Blockchain rent. https://tinyurl.com/y3ucu4ak
14. Ethereum - merkle patricia tree. https://tinyurl.com/zl2z4m8
20. Github repository of all our implementations. https://github.com/razaden
24. Ipfs is the distributed web. https://ipfs.io/
29. Solidity. https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.5.3/
30. Storj - decentralized cloud object storage that is affordable, easy to use, private, and secure. https://storj.io/
31. Swarm. https://tinyurl.com/y7fz8q3u
72. Goodin, D.: Google takes symantec to the woodshed for mis-issuing 30,000 https certs. https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2017/03/google-


106. V. Buterin: A simple and principled way to compute rent fees. https://tinyurl.com/y9v6w59


